



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/724,191	12/01/2003	Kenneth Jacobs	33000-17	8745
26614 75	90 09/14/2005		EXAM	INER
PEPE & HAZARD, LLP			THOMPSON, HUGH B	
225 ASYLUM ST. HARTFORD, CT 06103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3634	
			DATE MAILED: 09/14/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/724,191	JACOBS, KENNETH	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hugh B. Thompson II	3634	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF, after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT latute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed "HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		•	
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for all 0	This action is non-final.	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice und	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applicated 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 8 and 16-19 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and are subject to restriction and are subjected to by the Example 10) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on 01 December 2003 Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the continuous The oath or declaration is objected to by the	drawn from consideration. nd/or election requirement. niner. is/are: a) □ accepted or b) ⋈ the drawing(s) be held in abeyand rection is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12-16-04.) Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "402" in Figure 7 has been used to designate both the slot and the nut. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-20 (1, 3-5, 8, 12, and 17) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With respect to claim 1, line 13, there is no antecedent basis for "each bottom roller mechanism". In line 5 of claim 1, there is no antecedent basis for "each sliding door". Claim 12 recites a similar ambiguity with respect to the top roller mechanism.

With respect to claims 1 and 3-5, it is unclear as to the number of bottom roller assemblies required. Note that "at least one" has been set forth in line 12 of claim 1, whereas claim 1, line 13, and claims 3-5 suggest more than one.

With respect to claim 8, "adjustable secured to" should read –disposed within—, as there appears to be no structure that secures (fastens) any portion of the screw assembly to the mounting bracket.

With respect to claim 17, there is no antecedent basis for "each sliding door".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-15 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Armstrong #3,826,044 in view Lageson EPA#443,303 A1. Armstrong discloses a sliding door assembly comprised of top guide track 25, bottom guide track 26, sliding door 11, 12 (panel), stile sections 15, 16, identical top and bottom rails 17, 18, which are compression fitted onto the panel 12 at 32 and 37, and in which top and bottom roller mechanisms 10 are housed in spring assisted compressive form, the roller mechanisms having a mounting bracket assembly 40, 44, a roller assembly 51, a pivoting lever arm 50, 54, and an adjustment device 55, 57, 65 (a screw secured to the mounting bracket), portions of which engage lever arm portion 54. Armstrong

Art Unit: 3634

fails to disclose roller mechanisms slidably received within the guide tracks and a top guide track having a downward opening channel, i.e., U-shaped tracks.

Lageson teaches the utility of sliding door 10 having roller assemblies 11, 17 and 18, and top rail 19 slidingly received within guide tracks 16 (top track with downward opening channel), 15 (bottom), the U-shaped guide track structure reducing/preventing lateral movement of the door when in use. Therefore, to one of ordinary skill in the art, it would have been obvious, as a matter of engineering design choice, to provide the sliding door assembly of Armstrong with Ushaped guide track structure as taught by Lageson, so as to reduce/prevent lateral movement of the sliding door when in use.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 8 and 16-19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim, any intervening claims, and rewritten to overcome the Section 112 ambiguities as noted above. The primary reason for the allowable subject matter of claim 8 is the inclusion of a portion of the screw assembly disposed within the mounting bracket. For claim 16 it is the inclusion of the top track having an upwardly opening channel. The prior art of record fails to teach or suggest the claimed features absent the applicant's own disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hugh B. Thompson II whose telephone number is (571) 272-6837. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 9 am to 5:30pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/724,191 Page 5

Art Unit: 3634

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Chilcot can be reached on (571) 272-6777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hugh B. Thompson II Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634

August 31, 2005